UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/563,415 01/03/2006 Kees Marinus Maria Van Kaam		NL03 0806 US1	4525	
65913 NXP, B.V.	7590 10/10/200	EXAMINER		
NXP INTELLE	ECTUAL PROPERTY	KERVEROS, JAMES C		
M/S41-SJ 1109 MCKAY	DRIVE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SAN JOSE, CA	95131	2117		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/10/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ip.department.us@nxp.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/563,415	VAN KAAM, KEES MARINUS MARIA		
Examiner	Art Unit		
JAMES C. KERVEROS	2117		

The MAI	LING DATE of this communication appears on the	cover sheet with the	correspondence addi	ess
THE REPLY FILED 2	25 September 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICA	TION IN CONDITION	FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1. 🛛 The reply was f	iled after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same d	ay as filing a Notice of	Appeal. To avoid aban	
	plicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) a			
	ondition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with app Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.			
periods:	Examination (NGE) in compliance with 67 of N. 1.114.	The reply made be med	Within one of the follow	ang anno
a) The period f	or reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the f	inal rejection.		
	or reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Actio			
	wever, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX		-	
	ote: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CF F THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	ECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	E FIRST REPLY WAS FIL	ED WITHIN TWC
Extensions of time may	be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the			
	ate for purposes of determining the period of extension and the			
	s calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three m			
•	patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	· ·	•	•
NOTICE OF APPEA				
	appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 3			
	of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension therectal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time			appeal. Since a
AMENDMENTS	arriad book mod, any ropty made be mod within the time	o period det fortir in or	Or 10 4 1.07 (d).	
_	amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to t	he date of filing a brief.	will not be entered be	cause
	e new issues that would require further consideration	_		
· / <u> </u>	e the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	,	,.	
(c) 🔲 They are	not deemed to place the application in better form for	appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying th	e issues for
appeal; a				
	sent additional claims without canceling a correspondi	ng number of finally rej	ected claims.	
	(See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	ahaal Nation of Nam Oo		TOL 204)
_	nts are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See atta	cned Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment (F	71OL-324).
	oly has overcome the following rejection(s): ed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if su	hmittad in a caparata	timely filed emendmen	t concoling the
non-allowable	, , 	ibililited ili a separate,	unlery med amendmen	t canceling the
	of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)	pe entered, or b) 🛛 wi	ill be entered and an ex	planation of
	amended claims would be rejected is provided below	or appended.		
	ne claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowe Claim(s) object				
Claim(s) rejecte				
	awn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTH				
	other evidence filed after a final action, but before or o			
	ant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient r presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	easons wny the aπιααν	vit or other evidence is	necessary and
	other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of	Anneal but prior to the	date of filing a brief w	ill not be
	se the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all			
	d and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was no			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	r other evidence is entered. An explanation of the stat	us of the claims after e	ntry is below or attache	ed.
	CONSIDERATION/OTHER	II (I NOT I		
11. [allowance bed	☑ The request for reconsideration has been considere	d but does NOT place	the application in cond	ition for
See Continua				
	hed Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
13. Other:	(-)-(-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-			
		MES C KERVEROS	= -	
	Prir	nary Examiner, Art <mark>U</mark>	Jnit 2117	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Applicant's arguments filed 9/25/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Claims1-29 are still rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Schwarz (US Patent No. 6,496,947), as set forth in the Final Office Action, dated 8/13/2008.

The drawings, Replacement Sheets for Figs. 2-4, received on 7/8/2008 are acceptable. Therefore, the objection to the drawings has been withdrawn.

The amendment after final rejection filed on 9/25/2008 has been entered.

Date: 3 October 2008 Office Action: Advisory

U.S. Patent & Trademark Office Alexandria, VA 22314. Tel: (571) 272-3824, Fax: (571) 273-3824 Email: james.kerveros@uspto.gov

2